

Press Release
August 17, 2020

Contact: Garland Favorito
(404) 664-4044

Did New GA Voting System Produce False Results? Public Ballot Images Required to Validate Election

ATLANTA GA – Georgia’s new voting system generated **inexplicable vote tallies** for an August 11th non-partisan runoff but few have noticed. After Gwinnett Superior Court Judge Kathy Schrader won a five candidate June 9th primary in a landslide with 37% of the vote to 20% for 2nd place Deborah Fluker, the system recorded an **opposite** 60% to 40% landslide for Fluker in the runoff!

Judge Schrader received 60,000 votes in the primary and only 19,000 in the runoff while Ms. Fluker’s totals remained fairly steady near 30,000. The huge vote shift in those two months is **unprecedented** in Georgia electronic voting history. **No major event occurred during that time that would have impacted the results.**

A [VoterGA analysis](#) of precinct results shows trends of **severe anomalies**. In some precincts, Schrader received 55-61% of verifiable mail-in absentee votes despite Fluker’s stronger mail-in campaign. But Schrader only got 15-22% of unverifiable early votes cast concurrently on Georgia’s new bar coded Ballot Marking Device (BMD) system. **The huge precinct difference in voting methods is inexplicable.**

Runoff totals are even **more bizarre** than the [highly controversial](#) 6th District U.S. Congressional race in 2017 when Jon Ossoff received 64% of verifiable mail-in votes but less than 42% of unverifiable Election Day votes. Ossoff received 48% of the vote in an 18 candidate primary while Karen Handel received 19%. In the runoff, **Ossoff got the same share even after 16 candidates and four fellow Democrats were eliminated.** The old system declared Handel a 52-48% winner.

Georgia’s new system is **centrally programmed** by the Secretary of State’s (SOS) office and **highly vulnerable to an undetectable, single point of attack** just like the old one. Ballot definitions, databases and tabulator files are sent to counties for each election. Counties have **no means** to validate security of files they receive.

No audits are performed on any non-partisan, county or General Assembly races. Ballots are sealed upon completion of voting at the polling location and are accessible by the public at the discretion of the elections director. [\[O.C.G.A.21-2-491\]](#) Ballot images are election records **not** under seal and can be inspected or copied. [\[O.C.G.A. 21-2-272\]](#) To validate the results, **VoterGA has requested Gwinnett elections for public access to the ballot images prior to certification.**