GA Audit Would Reveal Other Counties Much Like Fulton

ATLANTA GA – VoterGA announced today it has evidence election result discrepancies in other Georgia counties may be worse than those it presented for Fulton County at a VoterGA press conference Tuesday. The revelation came as VoterGA formalized its call for a truly independent, forensic audit of all county results for the November 3rd, 2020 and January 5th, 2021 elections. VoterGA first announced support for a statewide audit at a July 5th Woodstock Festival and Parade.

On Tuesday, VoterGA explained how a review of newly released public documents led them to amend the Fulton complaint to add counts for these audit discrepancies:

- A 60% error rate in the 1500+ batch totals for the reported audit results
- Seven falsified tally sheets containing 850 votes for Joe Biden but 0 for Donald Trump and Jo Jorgenson
- Over 4,000 ballots that were duplicated in reporting of the audit results
- Three days of missing drop box chain of custody forms for over 5,000 ballots
- Missing tally sheets for over 50,000 ballots that were not uploaded until months after the audit results were initially published

According to VoterGA, a full statewide audit of November, 2020 and January, 2021 election results should validate voter eligibility. It must also include forensic authenticity, security assessments, and accuracy verification involving:

- Physical ballots
- Scanner/Tabulators
- Election server images

VoterGA further insisted that any such Georgia audit must be conducted by a truly independent team that has no relationship with any Georgia officials who conducted the elections. VoterGA flatly rejected the suggestion by House Speaker David Ralston to engage the Georgia Bureau of Investigation (GBI) stating the GBI:

- Has little or no experience in forensic elections investigations
- Was already engaged with the Secretary of State in voter investigations
- Produces dubious findings in investigations involving government officials

Another complaint involving the November and January elections, Daugherty v. Raffensperger, is expected to have its first hearing today. [Exhibits]